FIREPROOF DECISIONS

Chair:

Speakers:

RANJIT BHOSE
WAYNE BEGLAN
KULJIT BHOGAL
CLARE PARRY



OUTLINE

Overview of Part VII: Clare Parry

Caselaw update: Kuljit Bhogal

□ Practical problems: Wayne Beglan



- What is "necessary" to the decision
- BAYANI: scope and scale for LHA
- CRAMP: inquiries on the review? What is suggested?
- Detailed inquiries allow clear reasons to be provided

- Practical points:
 - Who made the note;
 - legible notes;
 - times dates recorded;
 - persons spoken to
- Interviews: Q&A format can be useful

Can they reasonably be relied upon?



- Is there a conflict on the factual material
- If so, what needs to be put?
 - "confidential material" from third party
 - Anything on which applicant could be expected to respond on facts
- How to do it? Interview?

Doubts =/ conflict on facts

Conflict on facts can be resolved.

Can make reasonable inferences

Reasons need to display logic in inferences

So can reject evidence which is probably wrong

 Essential difference between assumption and weighing conflicting evidence

 Set out reasons with clarity – check essential points have been put

Regulation 8(2)

HALL

Need for prejudice

Reviewer can look at fairness of procedure

INTENTIONALITY

- A deliberate act or omission (or series)
- Causation AJAYI: for authority
- Multiple causes WATCHMAN: mortgage / job
- Ceasing to occupy "accommodation" the limits
 - KHAN
 - LEE-LAWRENCE



PRIORITY NEED

Vulnerability: PEREIRA

• The OHP: YETER / TETTEH – infirmities

OSMANI

GRIFFIN – risk of serious harm may= det.



PRIORITY NEED (2)

Treatment of medical evidence

Reasons from CMA: §§38-42

REFERRALS

OZBEK

• BETTS: "real connection"

 So e.g. presence of family association not, per se, enough

INTERIM HOUSING - S.188(1)

• S.188(1)

Hard to challenge

• "Reason to believe"

■ Some material – v. low threshold



INTERIM HOUSING - S.188(3)

- S.188(3)
- Only required to exercise on request: WALTHAM FOREST
- Much easier to defend that s.188(1)
- MOHAMMED / NACION
- Can say will only provide in exceptional case
- LUMLEY

INTERIM HOUSING - S.188(3)

MAIN 3 CONSIDERATIONS

Merit of case on review

New information

Personal circumstances



INTERIM ACCOMMODATION - S.204A

NACION

New gateway condition: substantial prejudice in appeal

Unlikely to be new information of relevance



APPEAL - S.204

Powers limited to error of law

Can uphold if error makes no difference

Supervisory role, not appellate

WITNESS STATEMENTS

Can be used to make reasons clear: ERMAKOV

Can be used to say what was taken into account: HIJAZI

 Can be used to provide additional reasons: HOBBS



END OF SESSION 1

SESSION 2 – CASELAW UPDATE

OSEI [2007]

- IH case surrender of tenancy in Spain
- No real security in premises in England
- LHA held IH court upheld
- Reminds can TIA status of premises in England in determining RTCTO



DENTON [2007]

 IH case - twenty something failing to obey reasonable "house rules"

 Can ignore "misbehaviour" of the applicant in deciding RTCTO

Restatement of 4 requirements of IH (§§3-5). Ex parte P approved (§§24-25)

WATCHMAN

- IH multiple causes
- For LHA to choose effective cause
- Ws entered into unsustainable mortgage and Mr W later lost job
- LHA held job "accelerated" HLN. Appeal dismissed

RJM [2007]

 Concerned payment of disability premium whilst accommodation available - RJM became homeless and SoS decided not to pay the premium

Being a rough sleeper is not a "personal characteristic"

And so cannot found A14, A8 claim

GILBY [2007]

■ IH – settled accommodation

 3 years in accommodation on informal licence

LHA found not settled. Appeal dismissed



STEWARD [2007]

 IH – settled accommodation – other break in chain

 S left residential accommodation to live in caravan on various plots of land for 6 years

 LHA held no break in chain. Appeal dismissed.

SHALA [2007]

- PN approach to medical evidence
- CA gave general guidance. See notes
- Suggest form for preparation of reports
- Emphasises need for good reasons and careful treatment of medical evidence



ABDI [2007]

Section 184 decision

 Same officer made s.188(3) decision on interim housing

Appeal dismissed



AHMED [2007]

- S.193(7) offer
- Challenge to whether "reasonable to accept"
- A feared racial violence whether reasonable fear
- Reviewer gathered material not available to APP
- Held he could rely on that material

WILLIAMS [2007]

Whether accommodation suitable

 Whether further enquiries necessary: applied <u>Cramp</u>

Decision upheld, appeal dismissed

OMAR [2007]

- S. 193(7) offer
- Offer did not state it was a final offer for the purposes of s.193(7)
- CA held the letter was very clear as to the status of offer and consequences of refusal, 'literal and slavish repetition' of the exact words of the subsection were not required

EREN [2007]

• IH

 Failure to mention previous homeless application to different authority

LHA's appeal allowed



NEXT SLIDES

■ CASES FROM 2005 – 2006

ROBINSON [2006]

- Cannot postpone making decision
- 17 year old shortly to be 18
- LHA suggested mediation knowing would probably turn 18 in meantime
- Unlawful
- Potentially wide implications in prevention



M v H&F LBC [2006]

- 17 year old child
- Presented and dealt with pursuant to HA
- Argued she was CA child in need
- Rejected: nothing in circs to say was "looked after" and t.f. Not "eligible" or "relevant" child
- No disability nothing to suggest unwell



CONVILLE [2006]

- Intentionality length of provision under s.190(2)
- Length cannot depend on factors peculiar to authority
- LHA resources demands irrelevant

Must be "subjective" assessment



DESNOUSSE [2006]

Accommodation under s.188(1)

- Whether attracts PfEA protection
- Majority followed MANEK v RBKC
- No: because not "occupied as a dwelling under a licence"



LEE-LAWRENCE [2006]

- Intentionality requirement of "occupation"
- "Occupation" of less than 1 month
- No evidence of physical occupation
- Payment of rent, council tax, completion of benefit forms etc. can be used in support without evidence of physical occupation

OZBEK [2006]

- Local connection referral under s.199(6)
- Treatment of "family associations"
- LHA entitled to follow the referral guidelines
- Must retain "open mind"
- "Model letter"

GRIFFITHS [2006]

 Suggestion that fixed term AST could not be used for s.193(5) accommodation based on HA 2002 amendments

Rejected

 Restated that temporary accommodation may be temporary accommodation



DEUGI [2006]

- LHA withdrew a difficult decision
- Q whether appeal can continue
- CA said yes
- Everyone now pursuing variations on that basis
- Argue restricted to WEDNESBURY cases



CROSSLEY [2006]

- Reasons case former drug user
- Fact sensitive case

- Review case essentially a "special reasons" case
- CA held that letter did not really acknowledge let alone GRAPPLE with that issue



SLAIMAN [2006]

- Extending time for review
- May be required to address merits where they are obviously strong
- But apart from that not bound to do so
- Generally wise to
- Along with level of delay, reasons for the delay etc.



AW-ADEN [2005]

- Relevant facts s.191(2)
- OBEID approved (c.f. O'CONNER):
- The effect of those judgments, as I understand them, is that an applicant's appreciation of the prospects of future housing or future employment can be treated as 'awareness of a relevant fact' for the purposes of this subsection, provided it is sufficiently specific (that is related to specific employment or specific housing opportunities) and provided it is based on some genuine investigation and not mere
- 'aspiration'."

BADU

- S.185(4)
- Declaration of incompatibility
- No change to statutory scheme
- Approach to s.17, s.2, s.193(2)

KHATUN V NEWHAM [2005]

- Suitability case
- Held: No right to hearing under Part VII
- Subjective view of applicant is <u>not</u> relevant to suitability per se
- Can depart from guidance with good reason
 - here moving people from B&B in quickest possible time
- Policy "not so oppressive as to be perverse"

END OF SESSION 2

SESSION 3 -

PRACTICAL TIPS

RECONSIDERATIONS

Can do it: DEMETRI

Be ready to consider

Make clear nature of revisit

Early concession can save costs: BOXALL

PANACEA: TIA / fTIA / inquiries / perversity

INTELLIGIBLE

ADEQUATE

GRAPPLE with the points made.

- But can be given shortly
- Are entitled to a "reasonably liberal" reading
- And to read as whole do not need to X-refer

See notes for suggested rough format

- Use or adopt paragraph numbers / subheadings
- Return to test at end of letter in summary and show application of test
- In PN remember to focus on what if HL

Re-read a letter – get a colleague to proof

CRAMP: Can focus on representations

O'CONNOR: But do not forget s.191(2)

 Re-read file to see if anything obvious but not in representations



Remember ability to use evidence if necessary

ERMAKOV / HIJAZI

- Don't:
 - Include throwaway remarks
 - Be overelaborate
 - Forget you are the decision maker

INTENTIONALITY

In temporary accommodation

But has to be RTCTO at point of act / omission

AWUA

RELEVANT FACTS

- S.191(2)
- Broad "any relevant fact"
- "good faith": O'CONNER
- Wilful disregard is enough to fall outside
- Mere aspiration or hope not inside: OBEID
- Power of LHA as finder of fact

LAST WORD

In PN see HALL / BELLOUTI

• In IH take care re 3Ps / inferences

ACCEPTING REFERRALS

OZBEK – model letter

■ BETTS – real local connection

Practical points: clarity of communication

Don't accept until sure – limited ability to re-open



EVIDENCE

Opinion

Assertion

Evidence

• Weight: logic



END OF SESSION 3